

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DO	CKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/649,046	08/27/2003	3	Paul R. Berger	OSU1159	-166	4981		
8698	7590 05/3	05/20/2005			EXAMINER			
STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP					CRANE, SARA W			
495 METRO SUITE 210	PLACE SOUTH			ART UN	TIT TIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	DUBLIN, OH 43017					2811		
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/20/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/649,046	BERGER, PAUL R.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sara W. Crane	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 February 2005</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11;20 and 39-91</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 50, 64-91 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>11,20,39-49 and 51-63</u> is/are rejected.						
•	_						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The path or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.							
	(\$)						
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🔯 Infor	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 Sept 200 4 Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/649,046

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

As noted by Applicant in the response of 11 February 2005, claim 11 appears to be the generic claim intended by the previous examiner in the requirement for election of species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11, 20, 39-49, and 51-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of the independent claims, the "segregated" quantum structure is not clear. The term "segregated" would seem to imply a process of making, where something, a structure for example, segregates out or separates from a matrix. But the specification states at page 1, paragraphs 0004 and 0005, that the term "segregated quantum structure" is synonymous with quantum dot. "Quantum dot" is understood as a simple structural description, where the structure could be made by any number of ways, some not including any segregation step(s). So "segregated" could mean simply "physically distinct," in the sense that a quantum dot structure could be identified as a structure distinct from its surroundings. So it is not clear whether the claim limitation "segregated quantum structure" refers to a structure having the properties of a quantum

Application/Control Number: 10/649,046

Art Unit: 2811

dot, which is made by a segregation process, or whether the claim limitation "segregated quantum structure" is intended to encompass *any* structure having quantum dot properties, no matter how it is made. Clarification is needed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Crane, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1652.

The supervisor for Art Unit 2811, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara W. Crane Primary Examiner Art Unit 2811